arXiv:1609.08639v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 27 Sep 2016

Nonstandard critical behavior of the imperfect Bose gas on a lattice

P. Jakubczyk[1, 2, *] and J. Wojtkiewicz[3, †]

[1] *Institute of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Pasteura 5, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland*
[2] *Max Planck Institute for Solid State Research, Heisenbergstr. 1, 70569, Stuttgart, Germany*
[3] *Department of Mathematical Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Pasteura 5, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland*

(Dated: September 26, 2016)

We study an anisotropic variant of the d-dimensional imperfect Bose gas on a lattice, where the asymptotic behavior of the dispersion $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ at vanishing momentum $\mathbf{k}$ may differ from the standard quadratic form. We derive a general expression for the lower critical dimension d_L of the model and show that it may be pushed to arbitrarily high values by engineering the hopping parameters. The same applies to the upper critical dimension d_U. For $d > d_L$ we find a general, exact expression for the Bose-Einstein condensation temperature $T_c(\mu)$ as a function of the chemical potential μ. The asymptotic behavior of $T_c(\mu)$ at $T_c \to 0$ is governed by the shift exponent ψ, whose value turns out to fully determine whether the system is below or above the upper critical dimension. The critical exponents at condensation as well as the exponent ψ may attain non-standard values for specific choices of the lattice kinetic couplings. Finally, we study the mechanism leading to the affinity between the imperfect Bose gas and the classical spherical model of spins in the critical regime.

I. INTRODUCTION

The last years witnessed tremendous progress in experimental control over ultracold atomic gases (bosons in particular) in optical lattices [1, 2]. An accurate theoretical [3] description of such systems necessarily involves a lattice and interparticle interactions. For the majority of theoretical models, such as the Bose-Hubbard model, these may be treated only approximately, and, in most cases, involve costly numerical techniques. Recognized theoretical approaches to interacting Bose systems on a lattice include, among others, strong-coupling expansions [4, 5], reflection positivity [6], renormalization group [7–11] and bosonic dynamical mean field theory [12, 13].

It is doubtlessly worthwhile investing effort in development of simplified theoretical models which are susceptible to an exact analytical treatment. In the present paper we adapt the model known as the imperfect Bose gas (IBG) [14–19] to the case of particles on a d-dimensional lattice. We argue that the lattice brings in new ingredients even to the universal properties of the model, which, in certain circumstances, may differ as compared to the case of the standard gas in the continuum. In particular, we find that some basic properties of the system, such as the lower and upper critical dimension, the shift exponent, as well as the values of the critical indices at Bose-Einstein condensation, may be altered by manipulating the lattice so that the asymptotic behavior of the dispersion $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ is varied. The exact solution gives access to non-universal features of the system as well. This is then interesting to track the mechanism leading to the emergence of universality without making a recourse to renormalization-group based arguments. In addition, the universality class of the IBG is known [18] to be the same as that of the spherical (Berlin-Kac) model of spins [20]. One may then obtain a full, analytical understanding of the reason for the affinity between these two systems in the critical regime, despite their very different microscopic characters. This is again achieved without a reference to renormalization group theory.

The outline of the paper is as follows: In Sec. II we introduce the model and present a technique leading to its solution. Of high relevance is the asymptotic form of the dispersion relation $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$, which we relate to specific lattice models. Sec. III contains a presentation of our major results. Subsequently, in Sec. IV, we compare some of the relevant features of the IBG to the corresponding results for the ideal Bose gas [21, 22], and, in Sec. V explain its affinity with the Berlin-Kac model. We summarize the paper in Sec. VI.

II. MODEL AND THE SADDLE-POINT METHOD

We consider a system of spinless bosons at a fixed temperature T, the chemical potential μ and volume $V = L^d$. The system is governed by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{n}_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{a}{2V} \hat{N}^2 \,. \tag{1}$$

We impose periodic boundary conditions and the dispersion relation $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ is, for now, unspecified. The $\mathbf{k}$ summation runs over the first Brillouin zone. We will specify to a hypercubic lattice later in the calculation. The quantity $\hat{N} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{n}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the total particle number operator. The repulsive mean-field interaction term $H_{mf} = \frac{a}{2V}\hat{N}^2$ ($a > 0$) may be derived from the long-range repulsive part $v(r)$

* electronic address: pawel.jakubczyk@fuw.edu.pl
† electronic address: jacek.wojtkiewicz@fuw.edu.pl

of a 2-particle interaction potential upon performing the Kac scaling limit $\lim_{\gamma\to 0}\gamma^d v(\gamma r)$, i.e. for vanishing interaction strength and diverging range. Therefore, the interaction employed in Eq. (1) corresponds to a situation where the force between two particles is sufficiently long ranged and weak that the actual distance between them becomes irrelevant. The presence of the $1/V$ factor assures extensivity of the system. The continuum version of the model with $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \sim \mathbf{k}^2$ was studied in Refs. [14–19]. In spite of its superficial triviality, the presence of the mean-field interaction H_{mf} has a profound impact on the properties of Bose-Einstein condensation as compared to the ideal Bose gas [22].

Following the line of Ref. [17] the grand canonical partition function $\Xi(T,V,\mu)$ can be represented via the contour integral

$$\Xi(T,V,\mu) = -ie^{\frac{\beta\mu^2}{2a}V}\left(\frac{V}{2\pi a\beta}\right)^{1/2}\int_{\theta\beta-i\infty}^{\theta\beta+i\infty} ds e^{-V\phi(s)} , \tag{2}$$

where the parameter $\theta < 0$ is arbitrary and

$$\phi(s) = \frac{-s^2}{2a\beta} + \frac{\mu s}{a} - \frac{1}{V}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq 0}\ln\frac{1}{1-e^{s-\beta\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}} - \frac{1}{V}\ln\frac{1}{1-e^s} \tag{3}$$

with $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$. We isolated the last term and assumed that there is a single minimum of the dispersion $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ in the Brillouin zone, which occurs at $\mathbf{k} = 0$ with $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{0}} = 0$. The integration contour in Eq. (2) may be deformed to pass through a saddle point $\bar{s}$, located in the negative part of the real axis in the corresponding complex plane (see below). The factor $1/V$ in the exponential in Eq. (2) then assures that the saddle-point approximation to the integral in Eq. (2) becomes exact for $V \to \infty$, i.e.

$$\lim_{V\to\infty}\frac{1}{V}\log\Xi(T,V,\mu) = \frac{\beta\mu^2}{2a} - \phi(\bar{s}) . \tag{4}$$

This reduces the problem of evaluating the integral in Eq. (2) to solving the saddle-point equation

$$\phi'(\bar{s}) = 0 \tag{5}$$

and subsequently computing $\phi(\bar{s})$. Explicitly Eq. (5) reads

$$-\frac{\bar{s}}{a\beta} + \frac{\mu}{a} = \frac{1}{V}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq 0}\frac{1}{e^{\beta\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}-\bar{s}}-1} + \frac{1}{V}\frac{1}{e^{-\bar{s}}-1} . \tag{6}$$

There is nothing new so far. We adapted the reasoning of Ref. [17] to the present, more general case where the dispersion $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ remains unspecified. For the continuum case, where $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \sim \mathbf{k}^2$ the $\mathbf{k}$-summation in Eq. (6) may be performed for $V \to \infty$ yielding a Bose function. This is not the case here, where even the convergence properties determining the lower critical dimension for condensation do depend on $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$.

To make further progress we first consider the thermodynamic limit $V \to \infty$ where $\sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq 0} \to \frac{V}{(2\pi)^a}\int d^d k$. The term involving the $\mathbf{k}$ summation occurring in Eq. (6) is then replaced by the integral

$$I_d(\beta,\bar{s}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{BZ} d^d k \frac{1}{e^{\beta\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}-\bar{s}}-1} . \tag{7}$$

In the thermodynamic limit and for $T \leq T_c$ or $T \to T_c^+$ we have $\bar{s} \to 0^-$ (see Sec. III) and therefore the integral is dominated by the vicinity of $\mathbf{k} = 0$.

We now specify to the cases where the asymptotic behavior of the dispersion $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ around $\mathbf{k} = 0$ may be cast in the form

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \approx \sum_{i=1}^{d} t_i |k_i|^{\alpha_i} , \tag{8}$$

where α_i and t_i are positive real numbers for $i \in \{1 \ldots d\}$. We discuss this restriction and the specific relevant cases below in Sec. 2A.

A. Genesis of the dispersion asymptotics

Consider a system of noninteracting Bosons on a hypercubic lattice, subject to periodic boundary conditions. The corresponding Hamiltonian reads

$$H_{free} = -\sum_{(\mathbf{xy})} t_{\mathbf{xy}} c^\dagger_{\mathbf{x}} c_{\mathbf{y}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} c^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}} , \tag{9}$$

where $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ label the lattice points, $(\mathbf{xy})$ denotes a pair of points, the hoppings $t_{\mathbf{xy}}$ are assumed to be real, and the second equality follows from diagonalizing the Hamiltonian with the Fourier transform. The dispersion is expressed as

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} 2t_{\mathbf{x}}\left(1 - \cos\left(\mathbf{kx}\right)\right) + const \tag{10}$$

with $t_{\mathbf{x}} = t_{\mathbf{0x}}$. One can add a constant to the original Hamiltonian to assure that the constant appearing in Eq. (10) vanishes. For positive hopping coefficients the dispersion is always quadratic around $\mathbf{k} = 0$. This does not have to be the case in situations where the hoppings carry different signs. For example, consider the case

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = 2t\sum_{i=1}^{d}(1-\cos k_i) + 2t_2(1-\cos 2k_1). \tag{11}$$

If the t, t_2 couplings fulfill: $t_2 = -t/4$, the coefficient of k_1^2 vanishes, and the asymptotics takes the form

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \sim t\sum_{i=2}^{d} k_i^2 + \tau' k_1^4, \tag{12}$$

which is anomalously flat in one of the directions.

Now generalize the above case by taking $t_{\mathbf{e}_i} = t > 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$; $t_{2\mathbf{e}_1} = t_2$, $t_{3\mathbf{e}_1} = t_3$, $\ldots$, $t_{m\mathbf{e}_1} = t_m$. The analysis then shows that by a suitable choice of the hopping constants $t_2, \ldots, t_m$ one can achieve vanishing of the derivatives of ϵ_k in direction 1 up to the order $2m$. The asymptotics of the dispersion then takes the form

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \sim t \sum_{i=2}^{d} k_i^2 + \tau_m k_1^{2m}, \quad \tau_m > 0 \,. \tag{13}$$

Such a construction can be carried out independently in each of the spatial directions, leading to the asymptotics of the form of Eq. (8). The resulting exponents $2m_i$ are even natural numbers, which, importantly, may by made arbitrarily large by judicious choices of the hoppings. For the sake of generality we allow the exponents appearing in Eq. (8) to be arbitrary positive real numbers.

Remark. The asymptotics given by the formula (8) possess a minimum at $\mathbf{k} = 0$ being an *isolated point*. By modifications of certain assumptions of the model, one can obtain a *non isolated* minimum. For instance, if we relax the demand of strict translational invariance to translational invariance with some period, we can achieve completely flat dispersion in one or more directions. Examples of lattices with such dispersions appear in the Hubbard models with 'flat-band ferromagnetism' [23] (the 'sawtooth' one-dimensional lattice, or two-dimensional kagomé lattice). However, the analysis of systems with non-isolated critical points ('completely flat dispersion') is more complicated and we will not consider it here.

B. A remark on the ultrarelativistic Bose gas

It is clear that the asymptotic formula assumed in Eq. (8) also applies to the ultrarelativistic Bose gas in continuum, where the relativistic dispersion is dominated by the kinetic contribution, i.e.

$$\sqrt{m^2c^4 + c^2\mathbf{p}^2} \approx c|\mathbf{p}| \,. \tag{14}$$

III. RESULTS

We now analyze the properties of the model defined by Eq. (1) and assuming the asymptotic behavior of $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ given by Eq. (8).

A. Lower critical dimension

The last term in Eq. (6) has the interpretation of the condensate density n_0 [17]. The system finds itself in the low-temperature phase (i.e. the phase hosting the Bose-Einstein condensate) if n_0 remains finite in the thermodynamic limit. If the integral $I_d(\beta, \bar{s})$ [see Eq. (7)] diverges for $\bar{s} = 0$, then Eq. (6) admits a solution for $\bar{s} < 0$ at any V, and $\lim_{V\to\infty} \bar{s} < 0$ at any $T > 0$. This implies that condensation does not occur at any $T > 0$, and, in consequence, the system finds itself below the lower critical dimension. Conversely, if the integral in Eq. (6) is finite at $\bar{s} = 0$, than, for sufficiently large β the solution to Eq. (6) converges to zero in the thermodynamic limit and $\lim_{V\to\infty} n_0 > 0$.

We therefore seek to derive a condition for the convergence/divergence of the d-dimensional integral of Eq. (7). The integral is dominated by the vicinity of $\mathbf{k} = 0$ and the replacement of $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ by its asymptotics at small k has no impact on convergence. We use the asymptotics given in Eq. (8) and perform the variable transformation

$$x_i^2 = \beta t_i |k_i|^{\alpha_i} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1 \ldots d \,. \tag{15}$$

This brings the integral to the form

$$I = \pi^{-d} \int dx_1 \ldots dx_d \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left[\frac{x_i^{-1+\frac{2}{\alpha_i}}}{\alpha_i} \left(\frac{1}{\beta t_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}} \right] \frac{1}{e^{{r_d}^2} - 1} \,, \tag{16}$$

where

$${r_d}^2 = x_1^2 + \ldots x_d^2 \,. \tag{17}$$

The expression for I differs from the integral in Eq. (7) only by a finite expression.

We subsequently introduce the spherical coordinates

$$x_i = \begin{cases} r_d \cos\theta_1 & i = 1 \\ r_d \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \sin\theta_j \right) \cos\theta_i & i \in \{2, \ldots, d-1\} \\ r_d \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i} \sin\theta_j \right) & i = d \end{cases} \,. \tag{18}$$

The angular integrations then give a constant and the convergence of the integral becomes equivalent to finiteness of the expression

$$I = \text{const} \int_0^{\Lambda} dr r^{d-1} \frac{r^{-d+\frac{2}{\psi}}}{e^{r^2} - 1} \,, \tag{19}$$

where we replaced r_d with r and introduced

$$\frac{1}{\psi} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \,. \tag{20}$$

The cutoff $\Lambda > 0$ may be taken arbitrarily small without influencing convergence. The integral of Eq. (19) converges for $\psi < 1$ and diverges otherwise. The condition for the lower critical dimension d_L therefore reads

$$\frac{1}{\psi} = \frac{1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{1}{\alpha_2} + \ldots \frac{1}{\alpha_{d_L}} = 1 \,. \tag{21}$$

We conclude this subsection by stating that condensation occurs if and only if

$$\psi < 1 \,. \tag{22}$$

In the next subsection we demonstrate that ψ may be given the interpretation in terms of the shift exponent, which governs the low temperature asymptotics of the phase boundary $T_c(\mu)$. In the most standard case where $\alpha_i = 2$ for each i, we recover $d_L = 2$. Obviously, higher values of the exponents $\{\alpha_i\}$ tend to rise d_L. Using the observations from Sec. IIA we note that d_L may be pushed to arbitrarily high values.

B. Critical line

If $d > d_L$ and the thermodynamic state is adjusted to be exactly at the phase transition, we have $\bar{s} \to 0^-$, and, in addition, $n_0 \to 0$ for $V \to \infty$. Eq. (6) then yields the condition for the condensation temperature T_c

$$\frac{\mu}{a} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{BZ} d^d k \frac{1}{e^{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}/(k_B T_c)} - 1} \,. \tag{23}$$

The dependence of T_c on μ as given implicitly by Eq. (23) is nonuniversal, i.e. depends on the specific form of $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$. We note however, that in the limit of $T_c \to 0$ the integral becomes dominated by $\mathbf{k} \approx 0$. This allows us to replace $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ with its asymptotic form given by Eq. (8) and investigate the universal asymptotic behavior of $T_c(\mu)$ at low temperatures. By performing the transformation of Eq. (15), passing to the spherical coordinates Eq. (18), we extract the dependence $\mu(T_c)$ in the form

$$\mu \simeq \text{const} \times T_c^{\frac{1}{\psi}} \tag{24}$$

with ψ given by Eq. (20). The non-universal prefactor involves the product $\prod_{i=1}^{d} \left[\frac{2}{\alpha_i} \left(\frac{k_B}{t_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}} \right]$ and the integral from Eq. (16). The exponent ψ governing the asymptotic dependence $T_c(\mu)$ is however universal and given by Eq. (20). For $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \sim \mathbf{k}^2$ it agrees with the known renormalization-group result for ϕ^4-type effective field theory, which reads [25–27]

$$\psi = \frac{z}{d + z - 2} \,. \tag{25}$$

For the presently relevant case of interacting Bose particles the dynamical exponent $z = 2$. In consequence the above formula agrees with Eq. (20) as long as $\alpha_i = 2$ for each $i \in \{1 \dots d\}$. Interestingly, neither the above standard formula, nor our Eq. (22) allows for the possibility of realizing a phase diagram with $\psi > 1$.

C. Critical exponents

We now analyze the saddle-point equation Eq. (6) asymptotically close to the phase transition, on the high-temperature side, where $\bar{s}$ can be used as a small parameter. We split the integration domain (Brillouin zone) into a union of an arbitrary open neighborhood K_d^Λ of $\mathbf{k} = 0$, which is of a characteristic (arbitrarily small) size Λ, and the remainer $\mathcal{R}^\Lambda$, so that in Eq. (7)

$$\int_{BZ} = \int_{K_d^\Lambda} + \int_{\mathcal{R}^\Lambda} \,. \tag{26}$$

The contribution to the integral $I_d(\beta, \bar{s})$ in Eq. (7) from the remainer region is then regular and one may simply expand the integrand in $\bar{s}$ around zero $e^{-\bar{s}} = 1 - \bar{s} + \dots$. This gives rise to terms linear in $\bar{s}$ in Eq. (6). In the region K_d^Λ it is legitimate to use the asymptotic form of $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ [Eq. (8)]. We then proceed along a line similar to Sec. IIIA. We perform the rescaling given by Eq. (15) and pass to the spherical coordinates Eq. (18). It is then advantageous to specify K_d^Λ in such a way that the transformation maps it onto a d-dimensional ball of a radius Λ'. One then performs the angular integrations and the asymptotic form of Eq. (6) yields

$$-\frac{\bar{s}}{a\beta} + \frac{\mu}{a} = A_d \beta^{-1/\psi} \left[G_d \int_0^{\Lambda'} dr \frac{r^{2/\psi - 1}}{e^{-\bar{s}} e^{r^2} - 1} + c_0 + c_1 \bar{s} \dots \right] \,, \tag{27}$$

where $A_d = \frac{1}{\pi^d} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_i} t_i^{-1/\alpha_i} \right) \right]$, G_d is a numerical constant arising from the angular integration, and, finally c_0 and c_1 are non-universal constants contributed by the integration over the $\mathcal{R}^\Lambda$ region. The neglected terms are of order $\bar{s}^2$. We now observe that by extending the integration domain in Eq. (27) from Λ' to infinity, we produce another contribution analytical in $\bar{s}$, i.e.

$$\int_0^{\Lambda'} dr \frac{r^{2/\psi - 1}}{e^{-\bar{s}} e^{r^2} - 1} = \int_0^{\infty} dr \frac{r^{2/\psi - 1}}{e^{-\bar{s}} e^{r^2} - 1} + d_0 + d_1 \bar{s} + \dots \,. \tag{28}$$

The power series $d_0 + d_1 \bar{s} + \dots$ may be combined with $c_0 + c_1 \bar{s} \dots$ in Eq. (27). Subsequently we write

$$\int_0^{\infty} dr \frac{r^{2/\psi - 1}}{e^{-\bar{s}} e^{r^2} - 1} = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{\psi} \right) g_{\frac{1}{\psi}}(e^{\bar{s}}) \,, \tag{29}$$

where we used the integral representation of the Bose functions

$$g_n(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{x^{n-1}}{z^{-1} e^x - 1} \,. \tag{30}$$

For clarity we now rewrite Eq. (27) in the form

$$-\frac{\bar{s}}{a\beta} + \frac{\mu}{a} = B_d \beta^{-1/\psi} g_{1/\psi}(e^{\bar{s}}) + C_d \beta^{-1/\psi} + E_d \beta^{-1/\psi} \bar{s} + \dots \,, \tag{31}$$

where we incorporated all the non-universal (T-independent) constants into B_d, C_d and E_d. The asymptotic form of the Bose functions is given by [22]

$$g_n(e^{\bar{s}}) - \zeta(n) \approx \begin{cases} \Gamma(1-n) \, |\bar{s}|^{n-1} & 1 < n < 2 \\ |\bar{s}| \, \log(|\bar{s}|) & n = 2 \\ -\zeta(n-1) \, |\bar{s}| & n > 2 \end{cases} \,. \tag{32}$$

Eq. (31) is structurally similar to the one studied for the continuum gas [18], the major difference being that

the role of the dimensionality d has now been taken over by the quantity $2/\psi$. The leading $\bar{s}$-dependent term in Eq. (31) is linear for $\frac{1}{\psi} > 2$, and in consequence the dependence of $\bar{s}$ on d drops out. The condition for the upper critical dimension d_U therefore reads

$$\frac{1}{\psi} = 2 \,, \tag{33}$$

which generalizes the result $d_U = 4$ [18] to systems characterized by an arbitrary dispersion admitted by Eq. (8). On the other hand, for $\frac{1}{\psi} < 2$ the linear terms in Eq. (31) can be dropped. By plugging the asymptotic formula of Eq. (32) into Eq. (31) we find the asymptotic solution for $\bar{s}$ in the vicinity of the phase transition, i.e. for $\epsilon = (\mu - \mu_c)/\mu_c \ll 1$ in the form

$$\bar{s} \sim \begin{cases} -\epsilon^{\psi/(1-\psi)} & 1 < 1/\psi < 2 \\ \epsilon/\log(\epsilon) & 1/\psi = 2 \\ -\epsilon & 1/\psi > 2 \quad . \end{cases} \tag{34}$$

The quantity $\bar{s}$ is related to the correlation length ξ via $\xi \sim |\bar{s}|^{-1/2}$, see [17, 28]. It follows that the correlation length exponent ν, defined as $\xi \sim |\epsilon|^{-\nu}$, takes the value

$$\nu = \begin{cases} \frac{\psi}{2(1-\psi)} & 1 < 1/\psi < 2 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1/\psi > 2 \quad . \end{cases} \tag{35}$$

For the special case of $\alpha_i = 2$ for $i \in \{1 \dots d\}$ one recovers $\nu = \frac{1}{d-2}$ below the upper critical dimension, which then equals 4. By computing the grand canonical free energy ω from Eq. (4) it is also possible to extract the exponent α defined by $\omega^{sing} \sim |\epsilon|^{2-\alpha}$. One obtains

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{1-2\psi}{1-\psi} & 1 < 1/\psi < 2 \\ 0 & 1/\psi > 2 \quad . \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

The remaining critical indices follow from Eq. (35) and Eq. (36) via the standard scaling relations. For the case $\alpha_i = 2$ for $i \in \{1 \dots d\}$ one recovers the exponents specific to the Berlin-Kac universality class.

IV. COMPARISON TO THE IDEAL BOSE GAS

In this Section, we discuss the partition function of the ideal Bose gas with dispersion asymptotics given by Eq. (8). This cannot be done by taking $a \to 0$ since this operation does not commute with the thermodynamic limit. The calculation is standard, so we quote only the most important points.

The general expression for the grand partition function Ξ of the Bose gas with the one-particle dispersion $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ is given by [21, 22]

$$\ln \Xi = -\sum_{\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{0}} \ln(1 - z e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}) - \ln(1 - z),$$

where $z = e^{\beta\mu}$ is determined by

$$N = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{z e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}}{1 - z e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}} + \frac{z}{1 - z} \,.$$

In the thermodynamic limit we have

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{\infty} \frac{1}{V} \ln \Xi = -B_d(\beta, z), \\ n = b_d(\beta, z) + \lim \frac{1}{V} \frac{z}{1-z}. \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

where:

$$B_d(\beta, z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{BZ} d^d k \ln(1 - z e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}) \,, \tag{38}$$

$$b_d(\beta, z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{BZ} d^d k \frac{z e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}}{1 - z e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}} \,. \tag{39}$$

The phase diagram of the ideal Bose gas is not defined for $\mu > 0$, and condensation may occur only for $\mu = 0$. The analysis proceeds analogously to the case of the standard continuum model [21, 22] and we mention only the aspects of present relevance.

- The Bose-Einstein condensation is present if the integral (39) is convergent for $z = 1$, otherwise there is no phase transition. Observe that the convergence condition for $b_d(\beta, 1)$ is identical as for the integral of Eq. (7) for $\bar{s} = 0$. In fact, we have: $b_d(\beta, e^s) = I_d(\beta, s)$. In consequence the system displays Bose-Einstein condensation exclusively for $\psi < 1$. The condition is identical as for the IBG. Note however, that the quantity ψ cannot now be given the interpretation in terms of the shift exponent, since condensation is restricted to $\mu = 0$.
- The critical exponents of these two models do not coincide. Let us concentrate on the behavior of the specific heat C. For the noninteracting Bose gas it turns out to behave as in the continuum model in d dimensions [22, 24], where however the dimension d of the continuum model is replaced by $d_{ef} = \frac{2}{\psi}$. We obtain the following behavior near the critical temperature (n is fixed and we consider the temperature dependence):

1. The specific heat is a continuous (although non-smooth) function of temperature for $d_{ef} < 4$. The left- and right-side derivatives at the critical point are finite.
2. For $d_{ef} = 4$, the specific heat is also continuous at T_c, but the right-hand derivative is infinite.
3. For $d_{ef} > 4$ there is a discontinuity in the specific heat itself. Note that such a feature is characteristic to Landau theory.

It is apparent that the asymptotic behavior of $b(\beta, z)$ for $z \approx 1$ does not enter into the behavior or the specific heat – only the convergence properties of $b(\beta, z)$ are important.

- The asymptotic behavior of $b(\beta, z)$ for $z \approx 1$ however influences the behavior of specific heat near $T = 0$. It turns out that we have the following asymptotics:

$$C \sim T^{\frac{1}{\psi}}. \tag{40}$$

In $d = 3$, for the standard quadratic asymptotics of $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ we recover the behavior characteristic to the continuum model: $C \sim T^{3/2}$, and for $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 1$, we have: $C \sim T^3$, i.e. the same behavior as for photons in a continuum model.

V. RELATION TO THE SPHERICAL MODEL

The classical spherical model [20] is among the most recognized statistical physics models that display a phase transition, and, at the same time, admit an analytical solution. Remarkably, the model can be solved in arbitrary dimensionality d, and also in the presence of an external magnetic field. Here we sketch the major steps of the solution in order to pinpoint the mechanism leading to its relation to the IBG and distill the feature responsible for these two systems falling into the same universality class. For the details of the calculation we refer to the seminal work of Berlin and Kac [20] and the review by Joyce [29].

The Hamiltonian of the classical spherical model is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{SM}(\{s_i\}_{i=1}^N) = -J_{ij}\sigma_i\sigma_j \ , \tag{41}$$

where we put the external field $h = 0$ and use the summation convention. The spin degrees of freedom $\sigma_i \in \mathbb{R}$ are subject to the global constraint $\sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^2 = N$. The model exhibits a magnetic phase transition which is in the universality class of the $\mathcal{N} \to \infty$ limit of $O(\mathcal{N})$-symmetric models [30, 31]. The partition function is written as

$$Z_N = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\sigma_1 \ldots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\sigma_N \delta\left(N - \sigma_i\sigma_i\right) e^{\beta J_{ij}\sigma_i\sigma_j} \ . \tag{42}$$

Due to the presence of the Dirac delta, the integrand may be harmlessly multiplied by $e^{a(N-\sigma_i\sigma_i)}$. Subsequently we use the integral representation of the delta distribution and cast the partition function in the form

$$Z_N = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d\vec{\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds e^{-\vec{\sigma}^T\mathbb{M}\vec{\sigma}+(a+is)N} \ , \tag{43}$$

where we used the matrix notation and the relevant matrix elements are given by $M_{ij} = -\beta J_{ij} + (a + is)\delta_{ij}$. Upon choosing the arbitrary constant a sufficiently large the matrix $\mathbb{M}$ is positive-definite and one is free to change the order of the integrals and subsequently perform the Gaussian integral over $\vec{\sigma}$. This yields

$$Z_N = \frac{1}{2\pi}\pi^{N/2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \left(\det\mathbb{M}\right)^{-1/2} e^{(a+is)N} \ . \tag{44}$$

Since the determinant is a product of eigenvalues, one expects $\ln\det\mathbb{M} \sim N$. In such a case one writes Z_N in the form

$$Z_N = \frac{\pi^{N/2}}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds e^{-N\phi_{SM}(s)} \ , \tag{45}$$

which is analogous to Eq. (2). The precise form of the function $\phi_{SM}(s)$ does depend on the matrix $\mathbb{M}$. The critical properties are however sensitive only to the behavior in the vicinity of the saddle point. In addition to the most standard cases (such as only nearest-neighbor interactions) leading to the standard Berlin-Kac exponents, one may now manipulate the couplings J_{ij} (competing ferro- and antiferromagnetic interactions) and obtain a picture similar to the one described in Sec. III for the IBG, i.e. non-standard critical exponents [33, 34].

Despite the above affinity between the two models we would like to point out a difference. While the IBG Hamiltonian contains a kinetic energy contribution, the spherical model does not. As a result, the IBG is naturally equipped with two parameters (T and μ) to tune the transition and is well defined for $T \to 0$. In consequence, its phase diagram realizes the standard scenario of quantum criticality for T small [19, 32]. This is not quite the case for the Berlin-Kac model, where a realization of quantum criticality requires defining its quantum extension, which in practice usually amounts to adding an extra kinetic term to the Hamiltonian. This procedure is however not unique and leads to several different universality classes for T approaching zero, which, for example, may be characterized by distinct ψ exponents. Different variants of the quantum spherical model have been addressed in Refs. [35–40]. For some of them, the non-standard critical behavior was observed for fine-tuned coupling constants [41, 42].

VI. SUMMARY

Realizing the imperfect Bose gas on a lattice allows for engineering the kinetic couplings in such a way that the asymptotic behavior of the dispersion is altered. This turns out to influence the universality class of the Bose-Einstein condensation. By means of an exact solution of the model, we have found that the universality class is only indirectly related to the system dimensionality. Instead, it is fully specified by the shift exponent ψ. This in turn is given by Eq. (20), and, for the present system, is not determined exclusively by the system dimensionality d and the dynamical exponent z. The derived condition for the occurrence of the Bose-Einstein condensate (at arbitrarily low but finite T) reads $1/\psi > 1$. Non-classical critical behavior occurs for $1/\psi \in]1, 2[$. The universality class is then the same as that of the classical spherical model, albeit in dimensionality $D = \frac{2}{\psi}$. For $1/\psi > 2$ Bose-Einstein condensation is characterized by classical (Landau) critical exponents. The calculation allows for an analytical understanding of the origin of universality and also the affinity between the imperfect Bose gas and the classical spherical model without any recourse to renormalization group theory.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Walter Metzner and Jaromir Panas for reading the manuscript and a number of useful comments.

[1] M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. Esslinger, T. W. Hansch, and I. Bloch, Nature **415**, 39 (2002).
[2] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, Rev. Mod. Phys **80**, 885 (2008).
[3] D. Jaksch, C. Bruder, J. I. Cirac, C. W. Gardiner, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 3108 (1998).
[4] K. Sengupta and N. Dupuis, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 033629 (2005).
[5] R. Fernandez, J. Fröhlich, and D. Ueltschi, Comm. Math. Phys. **256**, 777 (2006).
[6] M. Aizenman, E. H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, J. P. Solovej, and J. Yngvason, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 023612 (2004).
[7] N. Dupuis, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 043627 (2009).
[8] A. Rançon and N. Dupuis, Rev. A **85**, 011602(R) (2012).
[9] A. Rançon and N. Dupuis, Europhys. Lett. **104**, 16002 (2013).
[10] A. Sinner, N. Hasselmann, and P. Kopietz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 120601 (2009).
[11] A. Sinner, N. Hasselmann, and P. Kopietz, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 063632 (2010).
[12] K. Byczuk and D. Vollhardt, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 235106 (2008);
[13] J. Panas, A. Kauch, J. Kunes, D. Vollhardt, and K. Byczuk, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 045102 (2015).
[14] E. B. Davies, Commun. Math. Phys. **28**, 69 (1972).
[15] M. van der Berg, J. T.Lewis, and P. de Smedt, J. Stat. Phys. 37, 697 (1984).
[16] V. A. Zagrebnov and J.-B. Bru, Phys. Rep. **350**, 291 (2001).
[17] M. Napiórkowski and J. Piasecki, Phys. Rev. E **84**, 061105 (2011).
[18] M. Napiórkowski, P. Jakubczyk, and K. Nowak, J. Stat. Mech. 06015 (2013).
[19] P. Jakubczyk and M. Napiórkowski, J. Stat. Mech. 10019 (2013).
[20] T. H. Berlin and M. Kac, Phys. Rev. 86, 821 (1952).
[21] K. Huang, *Statistical Mechanics*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York 1963.
[22] R. M. Ziff, G. Uhlenbeck, and M. Kac, Phys. Rep. **32**, 169 (1977).
[23] H. Tasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **99**, 489 (1998).
[24] R. H. May, Phys. Rev. A **135**, 1515 (1964).
[25] S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2011.
[26] A. J. Millis, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 7183 (1993).
[27] P. Jakubczyk, P. Strack, A. A. Katanin, and W. Metzner, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 195120 (2008).
[28] M. Napiórkowski and J. Piasecki, J. Stat. Phys. **147**, 1145 (2012).
[29] G. S. Joyce in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, vol. 2 Ed. C. Domb and M. S. Green, Academic Press, London, 1972.
[30] H. E. Stanley, Phys. Rev. **176**, 718 (1978).
[31] P. A. Pearce and C. J. Thompson, J. Stat. Phys. **17**, 189 (1977).
[32] P. Jakubczyk, M. Napiórkowski, and T. Sęk, Europhys. Lett. **113**, 30006 (2016).
[33] W. Selke, Phys. Lett. **A 61**, 443 (1977).
[34] J. Wojtkiewicz, Phase Transitions **57**, 17 (1996).
[35] A. Verbeure and V. A. Zagrebnov, J. Stat. Phys. **69**, 329 (1992).
[36] T. M. Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4293 (1995).
[37] T. Vojta, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 710 (1996).
[38] H. Chamati, E. S. Pisanova, and N. S. Tonchev, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 5798 (1998).
[39] R. Serral Gracia and T. M. Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. E **69**, 056119 (2004).
[40] P. Menezes and A. Theumann, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 054444 (2008).
[41] M. Van Canneyt and J. Wojtkiewicz, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **30**, 5959 (1997).
[42] P. R. S. Gomes, P. F. Bienzobaz, and M. Gomes, Phys. Rev. E **85**, 061109 (2012).